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June Conference a Rousing Success

Two Decades of Water Law and Policy Reform: A Retrospective and Agenda for the Future was held June 13-15, 2001, on the University of Colorado campus. This conference was not a debate on the need for reform, nor was it an effort to explicitly redefine the existing reform agenda. Rather, the event was motivated by the observation that the last two decades have featured a wealth of thinking and experimentation in this policy area. With the arrival of a new century and new federal administration, the 2001 conference was an appropriate time to take stock of the many reform proposals that have been discussed and, in some cases, crafted at our annual event. The event was an opportunity to contemplate the ground that has been covered and to speculate on what this means for future efforts aimed at reconciling traditional water laws and institutions with the changing landscape of the American West.

In his opening presentation reviewing the relevant literature, attorney and former NRLC director Larry MacDonnell identified three primary reform perspectives: economic, environmental, and equity. Advocates of the economic perspective generally argue for the treatment of water as an economic commodity, subject to largely unconstrained market exchanges driven by private decisions. The environmental perspective features a call for resource preservation (often manifest by instream flow and anti-dam proposals) as well as a concern for pollution. The equity perspective, meanwhile, urges greater protections for excluded values and/or interests (e.g., non-rightsholders, tribes and public interests) in traditional water laws and decision-making processes. As noted by former Interior Solicitor John Leshy, “We have not lacked for good advice.”
Director, Natural Resources Law Center

The University of Colorado School of Law is seeking candidates for the position of Director of the Natural Resources Law Center.

In the twenty years since the Center was established, it has produced numerous books, monographs, research reports, and articles, held over thirty national conferences and symposia, and hosted visiting scholars and policymakers from all over the world. The Center has gained a distinguished record and reputation for applied interdisciplinary research, publication, and education in the field of natural resources law and policy. Projects are carried out by a small professional staff and an extensive network of experts from around the country. The Director’s position is supported by the University, while Center projects are primarily funded by contracts and grants from foundations and government agencies. For more information on the Center see: http://www.colorado.edu/Law/NRLC

The Director has responsibility for directing the Center’s research agenda and educational activities; securing grants and contracts (with a $1 million annual goal); maintaining relations with the Law School community, the University, a network of scholars, an advisory board, funders, and the public; and managing the Center’s staff. He or she will be expected to direct the Center’s research agenda by formulating and participating in research projects that advance the interdisciplinary objectives of the Center, and will have opportunities to teach occasional classes in the School of Law. Candidates for the position should have a law degree or equivalent advanced degree in a related discipline, and experience that demonstrates:

- scholarly interest and achievement in natural resources and environmental law and policy,
- creativity in conceiving significant research and educational projects,
- entrepreneurial ability to pursue and obtain grants and contracts,
- administrative skills in managing programs and organizing conferences and events, and
- vision and leadership skills that can move the Center into its next phase of growth and accomplishment.

Applicants should submit a current resume and any supporting materials to: Professor Hiroshi Motomura, Chair, NRLC Director Search Committee, 401 UCB, Boulder, CO 80309-0401

The position will remain open until filled. Interviews will begin immediately.

The University of Colorado is committed to diversity and equality of opportunity in education and employment.

A Message from the Director

In September 2000, the Natural Resources Law Center staff and Advisory Board engaged in a strategic planning effort to give direction to the Center. The strategic plan calls for a doubling of the Center’s budget within five years and a corresponding expansion of activity. The Center will continue to build on its strengths and remain committed to serving as a forum for discussions and analyses of water law and policy as well as pursuing other issues within its mission of promoting the sustainability of the American West. The expansion of the Center involves broadening its audience of decision makers in public, nonprofit, and private organizations, serving as a bridge between academic research and public policy making, and between national, state, local, and community decision makers, and expanding its network of partners and collaborators, including faculty members at the Law School, across campus and throughout the Colorado system, leaders of advocacy groups, and private citizens.

It has been a great privilege for me to be part of the Center during the past few years and to work closely with the Center staff, the Law School’s natural resource faculty, and the Center’s advisory board, and with all those who have participated in conferences, workshops, Hot Topics presentations, and other events. I have become convinced that a new director is needed with entrepreneurial, administrative, and institution-building skills to lead the Center as it expands. I plan to stay involved with the Center in a research capacity, and look forward to working with the next director and others during this next exciting phase in its history. Thanks again to all of you who have given presentations at Center-sponsored events, reviewed drafts of reports, provided advice and suggestions, attended conferences, given donations to the endowment fund, and contributed in many other ways to the work of the Center.

Gary Bryner
2001 Conference Statistics

The event was attended by 133 registrants representing 15 states (Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Montana, New Mexico, North Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington and Wyoming), and the District of Columbia. Three Indian tribes were represented (Pueblo of Jemez, Hualapai Nation of the Grand Canyon, and the Confederated Salish and Kootenai) and one foreign nation (India). The most common affiliations were (in order): federal agencies, non-profit organizations, universities, state and local governments, law firms, and tribes.

There were 25 speakers and panelists.

Free Publications Available

RR26 "Laws Influencing Community-Based Conservation in Colorado and the American West: A Primer," 2000, $4 for postage only.
RR22 "Innovations in Forestry: Funding Forest Plans," 1999, 10 copies free, additional copies at $5 for $1, including postage.
RR20 "Innovations in Forestry: Stewardship," 1998 10 copies free, additional copies at 5 for $1, including postage.
RR19 "Innovations in Forestry: Sustainable Forestry and Certification," 1998, 10 copies free, additional copies at 5 for $1, including postage.
RR17 "Innovations in Forestry: Public Participation in Forest Planning," 1997, 10 copies free, additional copies at 5 for $1, including postage.

See page 11 for ordering information.
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Perhaps the greatest progress in implementing this agenda has occurred in those situations where the economic and environmental perspectives have been joined in reforms promising to reduce or eliminate environmental abuses through the exercise of economic instruments. Tom Graff of Environmental Defense and Dick Daniel of CH2M HILL both provided several examples of this phenomenon in California, including the celebrated MWD-IID canali­ning arrangement and the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992. Focusing on the West as a whole, MacDonnell describes the track record of reform as featuring "incremental rather than fundamental" change, utilizing "accommodation rather than revolution."

Several presenters focused on the major tools and strategies of reform. Stanford Law Professor Barton "Buzz" Thompson, for example, reviewed the tools of conservation and efficiency, including voluntary appeals to conscience, price signals, technology, and governmen­tal mandates. While all these tools can be effective, he identifies "price reforms and markets" as a particularly promising means for overcoming the entrenched inefficiencies in western water law and policy. Economic instruments were also the focus of remarks by University of Arizona Professor Bonnie Colby, who concluded that economic disparities associated with different types of water use suggest both a need and an opportunity for reallocating water in the West.

One barrier to water marketing, and to improved water management in general, is the extent of unquantified or disputed water rights in the West. One area where this issue arises is tribal water rights. Tribal attorney Sue Williams reported that the pace of settlements has dropped dramatically from the Reagan-Bush era to the Clinton and current Bush administrations, in part due to federal budgetary constraints and to the increasingly complex challenge of finding water supplies within already overappropriated systems. Recent progress has also been slow regarding stream adjudications, another prominent tool for better quantifying existing water rights. John Thorson, attorney and former Special Master of the Arizona General Stream Adjudication, provided conference attendees with a status report on these efforts, noting that adjudications are normally difficult, lengthy, and expensive processes, and are often flawed by omitting concerns such as groundwater resources and water quality constraints. Their chief benefit, according to Thorson, appears to be in "forcing resolution of tribal and federal water rights."

Environmental litigation also remains an important tool for reform. At the heart of litigation-inspired reforms is the Endangered Species Act, described by Leshy as the "uninvited guest at every western water dinner party." University of Colorado Law Professor David Getches, among others, however, observed that the use of litigation appears to be declining, and that the value of litigation is increasingly viewed as a stimulus, rather than a vehicle, for reform and problem-solving. Along similar lines, collaborative problem-solving was among the leading governance reforms identified by University of New Mexico Law Professor Denise Fort, who also emphasized the still unmet need for basin-
level management, agency restructuring or dismantling (with an eye toward the Corps of Engineers), and disassembling the “iron triangles” associated with federal subsidies and congressional involvement in western water development decisions.

Conference participants also received an update on scientific and technological advances pertaining to water management and reform. Science, increasingly, challenges water managers to think in a more holistic and comprehensive manner than is typical of western water institutions. One prominent example is the recent emergence of the so-called “natural flow” paradigm, described by Brian Richter of The Nature Conservancy. This paradigm suggests that protecting ecological resources should not merely be defined in terms of minimum instream flows, but rather as devising hydrologic management regimes that mimic natural variabilities (both high and low flows) and that pay attention to concerns such as sediment transfer and stream temperature.

The review of reform efforts identified a variety of problems with the existing tools, as well as conflicts among the three general reform objectives (economic, environmental, and equity). For example, improving the economic efficiency of water allocation may leave little for non-market public goods (such as endangered species), impoverished tribes, or rural communities brought into existence by past water law and policy decisions emphasizing low-value agriculture. Managing for environmental protection will undoubtedly constrain some economic activity, and block some parties—such as tribes—from enjoying the benefits of new water development. Similarly, a management scheme emphasizing equal treatment of all users and values is likely to run afoul of any notion of economic efficiency, and offers little help for ecosystems in need of restoration. It also can lead to situations of “competing equity,” where the remedy for a past injustice may entail imposing a new burden on another party. Additionally, reform tools that operate by exploiting the “slop” in inefficient water systems do not provide a long-term answer to western growth and increasing public expectations regarding water. To the contrary, many uses and values are increasingly vulnerable, suggesting that the future reform agenda will need to evolve to better incorporate notions of sustainability.

Conference participants generally concluded that western water management was not currently funded at a level reflecting its importance, and that an increased federal investment in state water law and policy reforms may be overdue. In lieu of a renewed federal investment in western water of this type, the short-term strategy of the reformers will likely emphasize the better use of existing tools. A variety of opportunities exist to better use price signals and markets in water management. Water freed up through conservation can more aggressively be targeted to resolve environmental and equity problems, rather than merely feeding new growth. Tribal water settlements can be given a higher priority among policy-makers, and results improved by excluding non-water issues from these discussions. Stream adjudications can perhaps be streamlined by relying more heavily on administrative (rather than judicial) processes, and can yield more useful outcomes if a broader range of hydrologic connections and relationships are acknowledged. Litigation can be used selectively to set agendas, but perhaps more importantly, to nudge voluntary negotiations toward resolution. Scientific learning can better shape how we define problems and possible solutions, with technological advances used to realize tangible gains. All this is possible and, perhaps, essential, if water law and policy reform is to continue its march forward.

This article was distilled from a more detailed “Conference Report” available soon from the Center. Please see page 11 for ordering information.
Fellowship Opportunity

El Paso Energy Corporation Law Fellowship
Spring Semester 2002
Fellowship Award: $25,000

The Natural Resources Law Center invites applications for the El Paso Energy Corporation Law Fellowship for the spring semester (January–May), 2002. The fellowship is funded by a grant from the El Paso Energy Foundation.

The El Paso Energy Corporation Law Fellow will spend the spring 2002 semester in residence at the University of Colorado School of Law working on a research project on oil and gas, mineral, energy, public lands, or other areas of natural resource law and policy. The emphasis is on legal research, but applicants from law-related disciplines, such as economics, engineering or the natural and social sciences, as well as lawyers, are invited to apply. Candidates may come from business, government, legal practice or universities.

While in residence, the Fellow will have opportunities to exchange ideas with faculty and students at the Law School, as well as the broader university and legal and policy making community in the Denver-Boulder area. The fellowship includes a stipend of $25,000, administrative and part-time research assistance, office space in the Law School, and use of University libraries and other facilities. Fellows are expected to produce a written project suitable for publication in a professional journal, present at least two lectures or seminars on their research and participate with Center staff on projects and activities at the Center relevant to their research.

Candidates should submit a proposal in the form of a cover letter and a statement describing the candidate’s proposed research project, along with a resume. Candidates should also provide complete contact information for at least three references. Applications should be submitted to:

Gary Bryner
Natural Resources Law Center
Campus Box 401
Boulder, CO 80309-0401
Telephone: (303) 492-1287
Fax: (303) 492-1297
e-mail: Gary.Bryner@colorado.edu


Criteria for evaluation of proposals include the applicant’s professional and educational qualifications, demonstrated research and writing ability, importance and relevance of the proposed project, and the likelihood the project will result in publishable research that will contribute to better understanding of issues and improved policy making.

In addition to the El Paso Energy Corporation Law Fellowship, the Center invites on an ongoing basis applications for fellowships without stipends in all areas of natural resources law and policy. The application process is the same as for the El Paso Fellowship.

Screenings of In the Light of Reverence

The award-winning documentary In the Light of Reverence will be shown on the Boulder CU campus (Muenzinger Auditorium room E050) on Tuesday evening October 9 beginning at 7:00 p.m. and at the EPA conference center in downtown Denver at 11:30 a.m. on Wednesday, October 10. Both screenings will be followed by discussions with the filmmaker, Toby McLeod. Terry Tempest-Williams, Charles Wilkinson and Vernon Masayesva will be featured at the Boulder campus discussion on Tuesday night.

In the Light of Reverence was recently honored with the Best Feature Documentary award after a "special advance screening" at the American Indian Film Festival in San Francisco.

Narrated by Peter Coyote and Tantoo Cardinal, In the Light of Reverence is a 72-minute documentary on Native American struggles to protect landscapes of spiritual significance. The film tells the stories of three communities and places they care for: the Lakota at Devils Tower in Wyoming, the Hopi in the Four Corners area of the Southwest, and the Wintu at Mt. Shasta in California. The film documents obstacles to religious freedom for land-based practitioners, and impacts on sacred sites that range from mining and ski resorts to New Age practices and rock-climbing.

For more information, contact the Center at 303-492-1272.

Fellowship Opportunity
Allocating and Managing Water for a Sustainable Future: Lessons from Around the World

Natural Resources Law Center
University of Colorado School of Law
June 11-14, 2002

This conference will examine innovative water allocation laws, policies and institutions from around the world that provide lessons for sustainable water management. In keeping with the Center's focus on natural resources issues of the western United States, the conference will focus its attention on problems applicable to the American West. Sessions will focus on innovative legal and institutional developments and lessons that can be transferred across different regions, countries, cultures, economies, and water systems. The lessons will provide examples from a variety of geographic scales, ranging from international rivers to irrigation system and watershed management. International speakers and case studies will be drawn from world regions that share the American West's challenges of managing uncertain and variable water supplies.

The theme of the conference is adapting for sustainability--how the design of western water law and policy and the management of water resources can be ecologically and economically sustainable. By sustainable water management, we mean the extent to which policy makers and managers are able to:

- Accommodate demands for human use and consumption with environmental values such as preserving biodiversity,
- Integrate cultural and environmental values,
- Adapt successfully to uncertainties such as climate change,
- Revise laws and policies to allow for human needs while preserving natural systems, and
- Develop new institutions of governance that successfully solve problems and generate support for the effective implementation of practical solutions.

Conference Program

The program on June 12-14, 2002 will include keynote presentations and invited papers providing an overview of global water issues and focusing on three major themes:

- The role of markets and policy: lessons in water allocation and use. This session focuses on the interaction of market-based approaches to allocating water, such as water transfers, banks, and other market instruments, planning approaches such as watershed and river governance, and other ways of allocating water.
- Integrating environmental, cultural, and other values. This session examines how water law and policy integrate other values and interests. It gives particular emphasis to environmental protection and the treatment of indigenous peoples, but also considers the balancing of local/national and public/private interests.
- Transboundary water conflicts and cooperation. This session addresses not only international boundary issues but also transboundary conflicts and allocation issues within national borders.

Call for Papers

Allocating and Managing Water for a Sustainable Future: Lessons from Around the World

June 11, 2002

The first day of the conference, June 11, will feature concurrent sessions of contributed papers focusing on the three major themes of the conference. If you would like to present a paper, please send a brief one-page abstract, along with a brief biographical note and information on your current affiliation to: nrlc@spot.colorado.edu or Natural Resources Law Center 401 UCB University of Colorado School of Law Boulder CO 80309-0401 Deadline for abstracts is November 30. Invitations for contributed papers will be made by January 1, 2002. For more information contact Kathryn Mutz at Kathryn.Mutz@colorado.edu.

To be placed on the mailing list for advance information about the conference, please contact us at (303) 492-1272 or send your request by e-mail to nrle@spot.colorado.edu. You may also submit your contact information from our website at http://www.colorado.edu/Law/NRLC
The Impact of Growth and Development on Riparian Areas

The Colorado Riparian Association will be holding their 14th Annual Conference in Glenwood Springs on October 3-5, 2001. The conference will include field trips to sites along the Roaring Fork Valley to view first-hand how development can impact riparian areas. Field sessions will also include visits to sites that have been restored following development as well as sites that have been protected from development. Speakers at the conference will address growth and development from a range of perspectives including: county commissioner, ski company, rancher, regulatory agency, municipal, public education, and threatened and endangered species.

The keynote address will be given by NRLC Advisory Board member, Bill Travis.

For a conference agenda and registration materials ($75 - $90 before September 8) please contact Jay Thompson at (303) 239-3784 or Alan Carpenter at (303) 443-8094.

New book from Island Press in Fall 2001

JUSTICE and NATURAL RESOURCES

Just over two decades ago, the environmental justice movement emerged after troubling research found that environmentally hazardous facilities were predominantly sited near poor and minority communities. This inequitable distribution of the burdens of industrial facilities and pollution persists today, yet is only half of the problem. Poor and minority communities are also often denied the benefits of our lands’ resources and can suffer disproportionate harm from decisions about their management and use.

Justice and Natural Resources, a collection of essays edited by the staff of the Natural Resources Law Center, is the first book to focus on the concept of environmental justice in the realm of natural resources. Contributors explore how decisions about the management, use and protection of resources can exacerbate social injustice and the problems of disadvantaged communities. They approach this more expansive view of environmental justice examining issues that are predominantly rural and western - many of them involving Indian reservations, public lands, and resource development activities.

The book describes concepts, identifies a range of strategies, and examines efforts to devise solutions. Contributing authors propose ideas that others can test, assess, and refine by focusing on three integrating questions:

• What claims are (and should be) the concerns of environmental justice?
• What communities should have their interests championed under the banner of environmental justice?
• How do we remedy existing injustices and prevent future ones?

Pre-Publication Orders Now Being Accepted

Pre-publication orders of JUSTICE and NATURAL RESOURCES may be made for the price of $20 for paper or $40 for cloth (approximately 30% discount off the retail price and includes shipping and handling). Payment may be by check or credit card (Visa or MasterCard). Send check by mail to Natural Resources Law Center, University of Colorado School of Law, 401 UCB, Boulder CO 80309-0401. Credit card orders are accepted by phone (303) 492-1272 or fax (303) 492-1297.

For this discount, orders must be placed by November 1. Copies are scheduled to be mailed in early December. Contact the NRLC Publication Desk at (303) 492-1272 or Project Manager Kathryn Mutz at (303) 492-1293 for additional information.
WATER AND GROWTH IN COLORADO
A REVIEW OF LEGAL AND POLICY ISSUES FACING THE WATER MANAGEMENT COMMUNITY
by Peter D. Nichols, Megan K. Murphy and Douglas S. Kenney

The Center is nearing completion of a report examining legal and policy challenges facing Colorado’s water managers during this period of unprecedented growth. Based on approximately 50 interviews with a “who's who” of Colorado water leaders as well as a review of recent water studies in the state, Water and Growth in Colorado describes existing water problems as well as potential solutions. The rapid increase in municipal water demands has brought a greater sense of urgency to almost all facets of Colorado water development and management.

Recent census figures rank Colorado as the nation’s third fastest growing state by percent, trailing only Nevada and Arizona. Eight of the nation’s eighteen fastest growing counties are in Colorado, led by national leader Douglas County. State population projections suggest an additional 1.7 million residents (approximately a 41 percent increase) can be expected over the next two decades. Most of these new residents will locate along the Front Range, a region with limited and already overburdened natural water supplies. Population growth on the West Slope is also expected to rise sharply, actually surpassing the growth rate of the Front Range.

In many locales, the result of fast growth is increased competition for limited water supplies among the municipal, agricultural, and environmental sectors, and between the East and West Slopes. Among Front Range municipal water providers, the nature and intensity of this competition varies greatly from city to city due to different water rights portfolios and infrastructures. Many of the associated legal and policy issues involve trans-basin diversions, environmental protection, water quality management, and interstate obligations. Coping strategies generally focus on new development of surface and groundwater, reallocating supplies from agricultural to municipal use, and conservation and efficiency. Each type of solution, however, raises new problems and concerns, as new management strategies must be reconciled with existing water use regimes.

The issues and questions raised in Water and Growth in Colorado should be of interest to all Coloradans concerned about the future of the state, its resources and its people. The findings will likely generate more focused attention from legal scholars, public policy analysts, researchers, journalists, water managers, and elected officials in Colorado and other western states facing similar challenges.

Expected publication date of this report (RR-27) is Fall 2001. Please see page 11 for ordering information.

Announcement

GS 592
WATER RESOURCES SEMINAR
Tuesdays, 4:10 p.m.
August 21 to December 4, 2001
C-142 Clark Building
Colorado State University
Fort Collins

The Fall 2001 Colorado State University offering of the Water Resources Seminar will define, and examine issues surrounding, use of the Prior Appropriation Doctrine to allocate water in Colorado during rapidly changing times.

The Prior Appropriation Doctrine governs water allocation in Colorado. The doctrine evolved in mid-1800s Colorado to insure that economic development occurred in arid settings in an orderly manner. As Colorado moves into the 21st century, rapid population growth, new recreation uses of water, and emerging ecosystem water needs are causing a renewed interest in the ability of the Prior Appropriation Doctrine to meet new societal needs.

The Colorado Legislature has modified the Prior Appropriation Doctrine over the years to adapt it to new knowledge of hydrology and ecosystem needs. As the needs continue to change, what future changes may be necessary to keep the Prior Appropriation Doctrine viable in meeting Colorado’s need to fairly allocate its limited water resources?

NRLC researcher, Doug Kenney will be speaking on October 9, 2001 and NRLC Advisory Board Member Dan Luecke will present on October 30, 2001. For additional information contact Robert Ward or Shirley Miller at the Water Institute, (970) 491-6308.
Glenwood Springs Fire Forum  
Working Towards a Common Goal

After a devastating fire season last summer, the Secretaries of Agriculture and Interior prepared a report, “Managing the Impacts of Wildfires on Communities and the Environment,” providing the overall framework for fire management and forest health programs, and Congress appropriated more than $1.6 billion for managing wildfires, reducing risks to communities in the wildland-urban interface, and forest restoration. Together, this National Fire Plan is helping to reshape fire management in the West.

On July 13, the Center, along with Congressman Scott McInnis, the Colorado State Forest Service and the White River National Forest co-sponsored a public forum entitled “Fire in the Urban-Wildland Interface” to discuss issues of forest health and fire management. Featured speakers were Josh Penry, Chief-of-Staff of the House Subcommittee on Forests and Forest Health which is chaired by Congressman Scott McInnis, Jim Hubbard, Colorado State Forester, Bill Wallis, BLM’s State Fire Management Officer, Pete Blume, the Fire Management Officer for the Upper Colorado River Intergroup Fire Management Unit, and Sloan Shoemaker, Conservation Director of the Aspen Wilderness Workshop.

Wallis began the discussion with an introduction to the National Fire Plan emphasizing its focus on fires suppression, dealing with hazardous fuels buildup, and increasing community participation in planning for and dealing with wildfires. Penry provided a brief history on the origin of fire suppression policy and concluded that the policy has proven ineffective. According to Penry, two-thirds of forest land is in poor health with sick and dying trees. He noted that because of fire suppression, the number of trees per acre has jumped from 50 to almost 500.

In his presentation, Jim Hubbard focused on the role of the state in firefighting, fire prevention and mitigation, including its work with local communities in training, planning and infrastructure development. Pete Blume provided an update on the Fire Plan and its implementation in the Upper Colorado River area. Sloan Shoemaker cautioned that management for healthy ecosystems requires that each forest type must be considered individually when designing a fire management policy. He emphasized the need to spend more resources on treatment to avoid fires in the urban-wildland interface (and less on more costly fire suppression) and for landowners to take personal responsibility in reducing fire risk.

Though there was disagreement on the details of the fire program and its implementation, all of the speakers stressed the need for participation by all levels of government and citizens. “Community participation is important,” said Wallis. Hubbard agreed, saying that if fire management is not locally led, “we’re putting a Band-Aid on a problem instead of solving a problem.” Hubbard cautioned that management must be locally led in order to be sustainable and long-term.

The state is encouraging community participation through the development of county fire management plans. These plans are important because they cross jurisdictions, are long term, and involve full local participation. In Colorado, Hubbard noted, we have not seen much county regulation up until this point. The state is not known for discouraging development, and most people heavily favor property rights, including their right to build homes away from adequate fire protection areas.

Following short presentations by the panelists, local fire fighters, county planners and commissioners, homeowners and conservationists weighed in with their views of the fire management plan and the way in which fire plan funds were being allocated. Several participants emphasized the need for more homeowner education, more funding to treat private lands to reduce fire risk, longer-term funding, and more work at the local level. While participants disagreed on the particulars of fire management in wildland areas – particularly on whether or not there was a need for mechanical thinning to include cutting trees of all sizes – participants agreed that work in the urban-wildland interface should be the focus. Working in the “red zone” (urban wildland interface) and avoiding work in the “red-button” areas (wilderness areas, proposed wilderness and roadless areas) will accomplish more for forest health and citizen safety with less controversy.

“A lot of people are putting themselves in the way of fire, the cost of fighting fires is seven times that of fire prevention. Property rights are important, but personal responsibility is also important.”  
Sloan Shoemaker
Karyn Brinson. NRLC Administrative Assistant for the past year and a half has moved on to a full-time position at the University of Colorado Admissions Office.

Cecilia Dalupan. 2001 El Paso Energy Corporation Law Fellow is currently in residence and working on her research on global mining issues. She is a native of the Philippines.

Michelle Pagan, a native New Yorker, moved to Colorado last August to see more of the sunny skies and natural landscape blocked out by the tall skyscrapers in the Big Apple. In May 2000, she graduated from New York University with degrees in Journalism and Political Science and a minor in Environmental Science. Her decision to go to law school was clinched after “falling in with the wrong crowd” in college, with whom she spent entire school years doggedly pursuing a national title in the American Mock Trial Association (Note: this never happened). This summer, she is working as an Outreach Research Assistant at the NRLC, preparing press releases, advertisements, and contacting the media for various Center sponsored programs. An avid outdoor enthusiast, she loves backpacking, paddling, and rock climbing. The end of the summer will find her pursuing a 100+ mile canoe trek through the Fulton Chain in the Adirondacks back in New York.

Miriam Stohs, grew up in St. Louis, Missouri, where she spent many happy summers camping and canoeing in the Ozark Mountains. After high school, she attended Vanderbilt University and earned a degree in Chemical Engineering. She continued her engineering studies at the University of Texas at Austin where she received a M.S. in Chemical Engineering. Her research focused on the effects of heavy metal ion migration from drilling and waste pits. This project sparked her interest in working as an environmental engineer, so she took a job with Radian Corporation in Austin, Texas as an environmental consultant. During her years at Radian she specialized in the area of flue gas desulfurization at coal-fired power plants. After finally leaving that job, she became interested in a law career and worked for two different law firms before enrolling at CU. This summer she is working part-time at the NRLC on a project which will evaluate the success of “Good Neighbor Agreements” between industry and community groups as a means of achieving pollution prevention and environmental justice.

Jessica Johnson is working at the Center this summer on two projects. She has been providing research assistance to our El Paso Energy Fellow and also has been working on our contract with CDOT on the Endangered Species Act. Jessica grew up in Flagstaff, Arizona where she spent summers working in local environmental politics, including projects on growth management and flood control. In May 2000, Jessica graduated from Linfield College in Oregon with a degree in Political Science and minors in Gender Studies, Chemistry and Environmental Studies. Eager to get out of the rain and back to clear skies, Jessica entered CU Law school this past fall. In her spare time, she enjoys reading, movies, and hiking.

Mark Detsky is a second year law student. He has a BA from the University of Michigan in political science and has worked for Colorado Wild and OregonLIFE. He is co-president of the CU Environmental Law Society. Mark has been a writer, musician, and outdoor explorer in the west for four years. This summer he worked as an Outreach Research Assistant at the Center.

Julie Yakes graduated from Michigan State University in 1993 with a Bachelors of Science in anthropology. After spending several years doing contract archaeology and cultural resource management in a variety of states, she changed careers to something less exciting but more lucrative – security and emergency medicine. It wasn’t glamorous, but it was interesting and taught her a great deal about human nature, both good and bad, and how people react in different situations. All in all, it was a valuable learning experience. She is now going into her second year of law school, and is interested in getting back to her educational roots by concentrating on Indian and environmental law. She is also interested in First Amendment and employment law. Currently she is a research assistant working on justice and natural resources issues with the NRLC, and is the secretary of the Native American Law Students Association.

Cathy Pardon, a summer volunteer for the Natural Resources Center and the Boulder Museum of Contemporary Art. Cathy comes from Montpellier, a beautiful town in the south of France, near the Mediterranean sea. In France, she is a communication manager and a media relations liaison for theater, dance, music and cinema festivals. She is visiting a friend who is a postdoctoral student at CU. While here she is trying to improve her English and see some of the country. She likes meeting people, discovering other cultures and nature, especially hiking. She recently hiked her first “fourteener”: only 53 more!

Louis Vidaillet, a History undergraduate and work-study student at the Center for the past 2 years, will be returning to work here again this fall when school starts. He is currently at home in California during the summer break.
To order or for more information, please call, write, fax or email the Center. Checks should be made payable to the University of Colorado.

Postage and handling charges:
- $4 for orders $20 and under
- $6 for orders $21-$50
- $8 for orders $51-$100
- $10 for orders over $100

International, rush, or especially large orders may require additional handling costs.

Sales tax (only within Colorado):
- Tax, City of Boulder: 7.36%

NATURAL RESOURCES LAW CENTER
University of Colorado School of Law
401 UCB
Boulder, CO 80309-0401
Order Desk Phone: (303)492-1272
Fax: (303)492-1297
nrlc@spot.colorado.edu

Books:

Western States Policy Discussion Papers:
DP06 “Water, the Community, and Markets in the West,” Helen Ingram and Cy R. Oginnis, 1990, $10.

Public Land Policy Discussion Papers:
PL02 “Sustainability and Beyond,” Dale Jamison, 1996, $10.

Occasional Papers:

Western Lands Reports:

Research Reports:
RR26 “Laws Influencing Community-Based Conservation in Colorado and the American West: A Primer,” 2000, $4 for postage only.
RR22 “Innovations in Forestry: Funding Forest Plans,” 1999, 10 copies free, additional copies at 5 for $1 including postage.
RR20 “Innovations in Forestry: Stewardship,” 1998 10 copies free, additional copies at 5 for $1, including postage.
RR19 “Innovations in Forestry: Sustainable Forestry and Certification,” 1998, 10 copies free, additional copies at 5 for $1, including postage.
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RR16 “Restoring the Waters,” 1997, free.

Conference Materials:
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CF23 “Outdoor Recreation: Promise and Peril in the New West,” June 8-10, 1998, notebook $75; audiotapes $150.
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Justice and Natural Resources, Natural Resources Law Center, Fall 2001, see page 7.
Searching Out the Head Waters: Change and Rediscovery in Western Water Policy, Sarah Bates, et al., 1993.
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Phone: (800) 627-7377


Available through University of Washington Press:
Phone: (800) 441-4115
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