

University of Colorado Law School

## Colorado Law Scholarly Commons

---

Best Management Practices and Adaptive  
Management in Oil and Gas Development (May  
12-13)

2004


---

5-13-2004

### SLIDES: NEPA and Adaptive Management

Denise A. Dragoo

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.law.colorado.edu/best-management-practices-and-adaptive-management-oil-and-gas-development>

 Part of the Administrative Law Commons, Animal Law Commons, Biodiversity Commons, Climate Commons, Energy and Utilities Law Commons, Energy Policy Commons, Environmental Law Commons, Environmental Policy Commons, Forest Management Commons, Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons, Land Use Law Commons, Natural Resources and Conservation Commons, Natural Resources Law Commons, Natural Resources Management and Policy Commons, Oil, Gas, and Energy Commons, Oil, Gas, and Mineral Law Commons, Recreation, Parks and Tourism Administration Commons, State and Local Government Law Commons, Sustainability Commons, and the Urban Studies and Planning Commons

---

#### Citation Information

Dragoo, Denise A., "SLIDES: NEPA and Adaptive Management" (2004). *Best Management Practices and Adaptive Management in Oil and Gas Development (May 12-13)*.

<https://scholar.law.colorado.edu/best-management-practices-and-adaptive-management-oil-and-gas-development/4>

Reproduced with permission of the Getches-Wilkinson Center for Natural Resources, Energy, and the Environment (formerly the Natural Resources Law Center) at the University of Colorado Law School.

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

## University of Colorado School of Law

---

Denise A. Dragoo

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.

Salt Lake City, Utah

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

- Introduction
  - Traditional NEPA Analysis
  - Adaptive Environmental Management
  - How Has AEM Worked?
  - Obstacles to AEM in NEPA Process
  - Conclusion
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Introduction

- NEPA enacted January 1, 1970
  - Section 102(2)(C) environmental analysis
  - “The National Environmental Policy Act: A Study of its Effectiveness after Twenty Five Years”
    - “One time EIS” to “Continuous Monitoring”
    - AEM alters “traditional” approach
    - Subjects environmental analysis to legal challenge
    - If fully analyzed, allows project to proceed despite scientific uncertainty
  - Modernizing NEPA Implementation (CEQ, 2003)
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## What is adaptive management?

- Process for monitoring and adapting to impacts
  - Applied to long term monitoring on federal lands
    - HCP
    - Forest Plan
    - RMP
  - Adaptive management and NEPA
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

- History of adaptive management
    - Ecosystem management – C.S. Holling
    - Northwest Forest Plan (AMAs)
    - USFS planning rules
    - CEQ – 1997 study
    - CEQ – modernizing NEPA
    - Streamlining Task Force
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## Application to oil & gas development

### Continental Divide/Wamsutter II Project

“changed mitigation actions”

### Pinedale anticline

Collaborative/citizen AM teams

### Jack Morrow Hill’s CAP

Nyberg definition of AM

### Powder River Basin RMPs

Intra-agency monitoring team

---

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Definition of AM

- Nyberg, “systematic process for continually improving management practices by learning from the outcome of actions over time”
  - USFS planning rules, “an approach to natural resource management wherein the effects of policies, plans and actions are monitored for the purpose of learning and adjusting future management actions”
  - American Petroleum Institute, “a science – based approach to natural resource management wherein the effects of policies, plans and practices are monitored for the purpose of evaluating and adjusting management actions”
-



# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## Monitoring team

- Science/technical
- Collaborative
- Citizen group

## Funding

- Government
- Industry
- Allocation formula

## Compliance with NEPA, FACA & APA

---

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Traditional NEPA Analysis

- Procedural Statute
  - No private cause of action (APA)
  - CEQ role in implementing NEPA
  - One Time Event – Single Decision
  - Mitigation Measures in ROD
    - 40 CFR 1505.2(c)
    - Permit conditions, lease stipulations
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## Adaptive Environmental Management

- Problem Identified in CEQ Report

- CEQ Solution – AEM

- Accept uncertainty in environmental analysis

- Post ROD development of monitoring plan


- Develop responsive mitigation as action is implemented

---

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP



# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## Obstacles to Use of AEM: NEPA

### Improper Use of AEM to defer decisions

- AEM process not contemplated by NEPA or CEQ rules
- Section 102(2)(C) requires environmental analysis prior to ROD
- AEM allows project to proceed subject to monitoring & responsive mitigation
- Avoids public review & comment
- Avoids due process review of ROD

## Solutions proposed by NEPA task force

---

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

- Resolve uncertainty regarding significance of impacts
    - 40 CFR 1502.14: include AM measures in alternative analysis
    - e.g. Sierra Nevada Forest Plan
      - USFS planning rules define AM
      - Plan fully analyzes range of scenarios regarding mitigation monitoring
      - Contemplates supplemental EIS
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Address incomplete data

- 40 CFR 1502.22(b): use AM when means to obtain data are unknown
  - Allow monitoring of impacts after decision to proceed with the project
  - Replaces theoretical models with actual monitoring results
  - Streamlining task force guidance to discourage use of AM to defer decision making
  - e.g. Glen Canyon Dam AM Program
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Post Decision Enforcement

- 40 CFR 1505.2(c): use AM as a mitigation monitoring and enforcement program
  - By contrast, current rules base enforcement on ROD, permit conditions, lease stipulations, not NEPA
  - DOI NEPA guidance requires “use of AM to fully comply with 40 CFR 1505.2 which requires the monitoring enforcement program to be adopted for any mitigation activity” 516 DM 4.16
  - Gives NEPA substantive “teeth”
-



# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Mitigated FONSI

- EAs use mitigation plans to achieve “finding of no significant impact”
  - AM may currently be applied to EAs which use mitigation plans to avoid impacts
  - Mitigation measures may be enforced after issuance of agency decision, *Cabinet Mtn. Wilderness v. Peterson* (D.C. Cir. 1982)
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Obstacles to Use of AEM: NEPA

### ■ Improper Delegation to AEM Team

- Lead agency (not AEM team) authorized to implement monitoring & mitigation
  - Contrast to activities of Pinedale AEM team
  - BLM may not abdicate to AEM team
  - Citizen participation on AEM team provides new method of citizen enforcement not authorized by NEPA
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Obstacles to Use of AEM: FACA

- Federal Advisory Committee Act
  - AEM teams meet definition of Advisory Committee under FACA
  - General Services Administration requirements
  - Availability of injunctive relief
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## Obstacles to Use of AEM: Cost

- Monitoring scientific uncertainty is costly
  - Costs likely to be passed on to industry
  - Methods to contain costs
    - HCP “no surprise” rule
    - FACA budgetary analysis
    - APA rulemaking
    - Address costs “upfront”
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Obstacles to Use of AEM: APA

- Need for APA Rulemaking
  - Application in a variety of contexts without definition or uniform standards
  - NEPA task force proposes to define AM in new CEQ rules
  - DOI has new definition 516 DM 4.16
  - USFS has defined AM in 2000 planning rules
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Obstacles to Use of AEM: National Energy Plan

- Traditional NEPA process is streamlined
    - Page limits, clear format, scoping process
  - No similar limits on AEM process
  - May 18, 2001 executive order, White House streamlining task force to accelerate permit review of energy – related projects
  - Draft AM guidance document
-

# NEPA And Adaptive Management

University of Colorado School of Law

Denise A. Dragoo, Snell & Wilmer LLP

---

## □ Conclusion

- AEM clear departure from traditional NEPA analysis
  - Moves NEPA from procedural statute to substantive enforcement of mitigation
  - Rulemaking required to establish uniform standards and incorporation with NEPA process
  - AEM may undermine achievements recognized in CEQ report
  - Or, if carefully applied could expedite decisions to allow projects to proceed where limited resource information is available and there is scientific uncertainty as to project impacts.
-