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I. Coal Resources Controlled by the Federal Government.

(a) Estimated U.S. Coal Resources. U.S. has an estimated 1.7 trillion tons of coal. About 440 billion tons are mineable under current conditions, one-half in the West. The Federal government owns 60% of the Western coal; controls indirectly another 20%. (p.2,1, Final Environmental Impact Statement - Federal Coal Management Program, April, 1979).

(b) Relationship of Coal Reserves to Energy Requirements of the Nation.

II. Leasing Programs for Federal Coal Prior to 1979.


(c) EMARS Program Proposed in 1974. Program and supporting Environmental Impact Statements were not legally supportable.
(d) Changes in the Law. After 1970, Congress enacted several statutes making major changes in DOI's authority to manage the nation's coal resources.


(iii) Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977, 90 Stat. 445, ("SMCRA"), restricted lands which could be mined and provided for surface owner consent.


(a) Effect of the Lawsuit. EIS on EMARS program held inadequate; DOI enjoined from leasing with very minor exceptions.

(b) Settlement of the Lawsuit. Settlement of lawsuit, while controversial, allowed limited resumption of leasing and permitted development of new Federal Coal Leasing Program.

(c) Claims of Utah Power & Light. Reasons why Utah Power & Light not included in settlement.


(a) No Settlement. Reasons why this case was not settled.

(b) Unanswered Questions.
V. Development of the 1979 Coal Leasing Program.

(a) Objectives. The objectives of the new Federal Coal Leasing Program.

(b) Public Involvement. Various ways the public had input into the development of the new program.

(c) Key Issues Considered by the Secretary.

(i) Should more coal be leased, and if so, where and how much?

(ii) How could DOI comply with the surface owner provisions of SMCRA and still prevent the owner from realizing a portion of the value of the Federal Coal through sale of the consent to a coal company?

(iii) What lands should be classified as unsuitable for mining under SMCRA and when?

(iv) Should the end use of the coal be taken into consideration before deciding to issue a lease?

(v) Should BLM's current land use plans be used in determining lands suitable for leasing?

(vi) How should the "maximum economic recovery" required by FCLAA be calculated?

(vii) How should coal industry interest in specific tracts of land be taken into account in the leasing process?

(viii) Other issues.

VI. Status of Implementation of 1979 Federal Coal Leasing Program.