

University of Colorado Law School

Colorado Law Scholarly Commons

Articles

Colorado Law Faculty Scholarship

1979

Moderator's Remarks, Institutional Due Process in the Twenty-First Century: The Future of the Hearing Requirement

Harold H. Bruff

University of Colorado Law School

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.law.colorado.edu/faculty-articles>



Part of the [Administrative Law Commons](#), [Constitutional Law Commons](#), [Education Law Commons](#), and the [Labor and Employment Law Commons](#)

Citation Information

Harold H. Bruff, *Moderator's Remarks, Institutional Due Process in the Twenty-First Century: The Future of the Hearing Requirement*, 16 SAN DIEGO L. REV. 288 (1979), available at <https://scholar.law.colorado.edu/faculty-articles/1082>.

Copyright Statement

Copyright protected. Use of materials from this collection beyond the exceptions provided for in the Fair Use and Educational Use clauses of the U.S. Copyright Law may violate federal law. Permission to publish or reproduce is required.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Colorado Law Faculty Scholarship at Colorado Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of Colorado Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact lauren.seney@colorado.edu.

HEINONLINE

Citation:

Harold H. Bruff, Moderator's Remarks, 16 San Diego L.
Rev. 288, 288 (1979)

Provided by:

William A. Wise Law Library

Content downloaded/printed from [HeinOnline](#)

Fri Oct 27 12:26:36 2017

- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at <http://heinonline.org/HOL/License>
- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.
- To obtain permission to use this article beyond the scope of your HeinOnline license, please use:

[Copyright Information](#)



Use QR Code reader to send PDF to
your smartphone or tablet device

Moderator's Remarks

HAROLD H. BRUFF*

The first speaker on this panel is Ken Davis of this faculty, who will both describe and advocate what he calls the principle of *Goss v. Lopez*.¹ He will provide something of a focal point for much that will follow. He will be followed by Nat Nathanson, visiting here, who will, as he says, provide some historical perspective and current developments. I think what he means by that is that he will give us a sense for what the courts' emphases have been in the due process cases over broad periods of years bringing us up to the present—and indeed to the moment with the very recent *Horowitz* case² on graduate school dismissals—and then will talk about the extension of due process principles, or at least procedural protections, into the heretofore largely exempt area of rulemaking procedures. Following Nat will be Bob Rabin, who will exhibit a Stanford professor's characteristic disregard for what the law is by talking about what values underlie due process and the extent to which they can be translated into what really is the focal point here: minimal due process protections. Finally, Neil Peck of Washington will advance some heresies—principally, that one might want to extend procedural protections into private employment in either of two broad fashions, one of them being through extension of the state action doctrine and application of due process cases directly, the other being changes in state tort or contract law. Without further ado then: Ken Davis.

* Professor of Law, Arizona State University College of Law. B.A., Williams College, 1965; J.D., Harvard Law School, 1968.

1. 419 U.S. 565 (1975).

2. Board of Curators of the Univ. of Mo. v. Horowitz, 435 U.S. 78 (1978).