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I wish to emphasize three points in my remarks: (1) reauthorization of the ESA is one of the most important responsibilities this Congress will have, (2) vigorous protection of the Nation's biological resources will benefit all Americans, and (3) recent scientific advances provide good guidance for achieving the goals of the ESA more effectively and efficiently.

The Endangered Species Act of 1973 was a remarkable piece of legislation. Now, the time has come to reconsider its goals and the mechanisms for achieving them. This task is one of the most important challenges facing the 104th Congress. The responsibility of safeguarding the Nation's biological resources is profound. The challenge is also fundamentally different from many of the other important responsibilities of Congress. Most policies formulated at one point in time can be altered at a later date. However, because the loss of a species is irreversible, many of the consequences of a poorly conceived ESA cannot be reversed. Jurassic Park notwithstanding, species cannot be brought back to life, nor can most of their important functions be replaced. What are the consequences of losing species? Losing species mean losing genes, losing potentially important chemicals for medicine, losing life-supporting ecological services. The permanency of extinction and the folly of squandering the natural biological capital on which we all depend should prompt a profound sense of responsibility and a suitably careful approach. Few bad decisions will have such irreversible consequences.

The task of reauthorization should take full advantage of the substantial, recent advances in science. The scientific information relevant to the ESA has been recently reviewed and summarized by two independent expert panels. Professor Clegg has summarized the excellent report issued by the National Research Council. A separate, independent but parallel scientific assessment has just been released by the Ecological Society of America, and I respectfully request that this report be entered into the record. This report is remarkably similar in its conclusions to the Academy report and focuses specifically on ways in which scientific information can help achieve the goals of the ESA more effectively and efficiently.

Together, these reports provide unequivocal testimony to strong consensus within the scientific community: strong consensus about the importance of preserving the Nation's biological resources, strong consensus about the critical importance of these resources to people and strong consensus about the dual need to protect both species and habitats.
People depend upon biological resources in myriad and generally unappreciated ways. Even the much maligned "creepy, crawly critters" or even the simply plain organisms may be bountiful sources of useful products like medicines. For example, the interaction between caterpillars of the day-flying moth *Urania* and the *Omphalea* plants on which they feed results in the production by the plants of a chemical dihydroxymethyldihydroxypyrrolidine or DMDP. This compound has been shown to have remarkable properties: it blocks activity of the HIV virus, protects stores of beans against attack by beetles and demonstrates some activity against cancer and diabetes.

Species provide much more than "goods" such as medicines, food and genes, they also provide "services" to people. Intact ecosystems with their full component of species provide many essential services which we take for granted. Old growth forests and wetlands purify water and detoxify pollutants; kelp forests and salt marshes provide nursery ground for fishes and protect shores from erosion during storms. Other "ecosystem services" include the provision of fertile soil, pollination for crops and control of pests and pathogens. These ecosystem services are provided free of charge. They are not included in our economic valuation systems. They are not easily replaced. These services are of obvious importance to people and warrant strong protection.

In some cases, protection of individual species through the ESA has had the added benefit of protection of the ecosystem in which the species lives and therefore of the ecosystem services provided by that ecosystem. For example, protection of the northern spotted owl has resulted not only in protection of hundreds of other species living in old-growth forests but also in protection of watersheds that provide clean drinking water for cities and spawning grounds for salmon.

The increase in scientific understanding of species and ecosystems over the past two decades strongly reinforces the original goals of the ESA. Thus in addition to ethical and moral reasons to protect species and habitats, it is in our own best interests to do so. Protection of species benefits us all. New information also provides guidance about how to achieve this protection in more efficient and effective ways.

In closing, let me share with you my pleasure that my younger son Duncan is here with us today. Throughout human history, parents have looked to the younger generation as the hope for the future, the hope for continuing the good things we have begun and for correcting our errors. Now, however, the next generation may not be able to undo our most egregious and short-sighted mistakes. As E.O. Wilson has said, loss of biodiversity is the folly least likely to be forgiven us by future generations.
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