3-24-1983

Contractual and Other Considerations Affecting Producers, Pipelines and Distributors During Current Period of Market Demand Constraints [outline]

Michael J. Manning

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.law.colorado.edu/natural-gas-symposium-contract-solutions-for-future-of-regulatory-environment

Part of the Administrative Law Commons, Antitrust and Trade Regulation Commons, Bankruptcy Law Commons, Commercial Law Commons, Contracts Commons, Courts Commons, Dispute Resolution and Arbitration Commons, Energy and Utilities Law Commons, Energy Policy Commons, Law and Economics Commons, Legal Remedies Commons, Legislation Commons, Marketing Commons, Natural Resource Economics Commons, Natural Resources Law Commons, Natural Resources Management and Policy Commons, Oil, Gas, and Energy Commons, Oil, Gas, and Mineral Law Commons, Operations Research, Systems Engineering and Industrial Engineering Commons, and the Transportation Law Commons

Citation Information

Reproduced with permission of the Getches-Wilkinson Center for Natural Resources, Energy, and the Environment (formerly the Natural Resources Law Center) at the University of Colorado Law School.
Michael J. Manning, *Contractual and Other Considerations Affecting Producers, Pipelines and Distributors During Current Period of Market Demand Constraints* [outline], in *NATURAL GAS SYMPOSIUM: CONTRACT SOLUTIONS FOR THE FUTURE REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT* (Natural Res. Law Ctr., Univ. of Colo. Sch. of Law 1983).

Reproduced with permission of the Getches-Wilkinson Center for Natural Resources, Energy, and the Environment (formerly the Natural Resources Law Center) at the University of Colorado Law School.
NATURAL GAS SYMPOSIUM: CONTRACT SOLUTIONS FOR THE FUTURE REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

Sponsored By
University of Colorado School of Law
Natural Resources Law Center
and
University of Houston Law Center

March 24-25, 1983 -- Boulder, Colorado

Outline of Presentation
By
Michael J. Manning
Fulbright & Jaworski
Washington, DC

CONTRACTUAL AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AFFECTING PRODUCERS, PIPELINES AND DISTRIBUTORS DURING CURRENT PERIOD OF MARKET DEMAND CONSTRAINTS
I. CONTRACTUAL AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AFFECTING PRODUCERS, PIPELINES AND DISTRIBUTORS DURING CURRENT PERIOD OF MARKET DEMAND CONSTRAINTS

I. CURRENT MARKET CONDITIONS

A. Characteristics

1. High Prices.
3. Declining Demand.

B. Causes

   a) Established higher ceiling prices for all categories of gas.
   b) Provided that prices would escalate with inflation.
   c) Provided "real growth" escalation for certain categories of gas.
   d) Provided for deregulation of certain high cost gas.
2. Traditional Gas Purchasing Relationships and Patterns.
3. Indefinite Escalator Clauses.
5. Recession.
7. Warm Winter.
II. NEGOTIATING NEW CONTRACTS AND MODIFYING EXISTING SUPPLIER/PURCHASER RELATIONSHIPS IN RESPONSE TO MARKET CONDITIONS

A. Pipeline Concerns:

1. Negotiation of contracts with producers:
   a) Reduction or elimination of take-or-pay obligations.
   b) Market-out clauses in contracts for deregulated and other high cost gas.
   c) Restructure pricing provisions.
   d) Provisions permitting redetermination of contract price down to market-clearing level.

2. Filing new tariffs to modify existing rates to customers.
   a) Discount/incentive rates to distributors and large industrial customers in order to minimize load loss to alternative fuels.

B. Producer Concerns:

1. Adequate consideration from purchasers in negotiating pricing clauses, take-or-pay and make-up clauses, market-out clauses, production related (i.e. gathering, compression, etc.) clauses and related provisions in existing and new contracts.

2. Sales levels to assure cash flow continuity.

3. Alternative transportation relationships.

C. Distributor Concerns:

1. Ability to renegotiate existing relationships with suppliers.
2. Options which can be used to influence pipeline suppliers and provide protection from marketability problems.
   a) Alternative rate design proposals for pipeline suppliers.
   b) Challenges to suppliers' PGA pass-throughs of high gas costs.
   c) Challenges to supplier's minimum bill provisions in rate cases.

3. Two-part rate structures at the local level, charging industrial customers on a different basis than other customers in order to minimize industrial load loss.

4. Purchasing gas at the wellhead and establishing transportation arrangements for system supply.

III. NON-CONTRACTUAL RESPONSES TO MARKETABILITY PROBLEMS

A. Producers

1. NGPA §311(a) arrangements to bypass traditional pipeline customers.

2. Direct sales to end users or distributors.

3. Constructing pipelines from supply area and recoupment of costs.
   a) NGPA consequences.
   b) Natural Gas Act consequences.

B. Pipelines

1. Off-System sales to market surplus gas supplies.

2. Incentive pricing policies to attract new loads.
C. Distributors

1. Alternative supply options.
   a) From other accessible pipeline suppliers.
   b) From producer field supply sources.
   c) Transportation arrangements under NGPA §311(a).
   d) The Illinois Commerce Commission has recently ordered three Illinois distributors to report on methods of obtaining alternative sources of gas supply.

D. Regulatory and Legislative Responses To Current Market Conditions

1. FERC.
   a) Regulatory scrutiny of traditional contractual provisions e.g. take-or-pay (see FERC Docket No. PL83-1-000), minimum bills, indefinite escalators, redeterminations.
   b) Check on pipeline purchasing practices under NGPA §601(c) "Fraud and Abuse" standard (PL82-1-000).
      i) Columbia Gas Transmission Co. initial decision (TA81-1-21-001 and TA81-2-21-001).
      ii) Petition of Associated Gas Distributors for a declaratory order that "abuse" encompasses certain pipeline gas purchasing practices (RM83-55-000).
   c) "Net-back" pricing approach to link wellhead price with price of alternative fuels at the burner tip.
   d) Pipeline rate designs giving pipelines incentive to avoid load loss due to high gas costs, i.e. a sliding scale rate of return based on sales volumes.
e) Discount rates to industrial users in pipeline tariffs.

f) Cap on §107 high cost gas at the commodity value of gas or some other level (RM82-32-000).

2. Potential Congressional Responses:

a) Natural gas pipelines as common carriers.

b) Decontrol wellhead prices (See Richard Morgan's presentation).

c) Freeze or extend controls on wellhead prices.

d) Impose market-out provisions legislatively.

e) Abrogate or modify take-or-pay and indefinite pricing provisions in existing contracts.

f) Abrogate contracts to permit renegotiation for a specified period.

g) Direct FERC to exercise stricter scrutiny of pipeline pass-throughs under NGPA §601(c).

h) Limit FERC discretion to administratively increase ceiling prices under the NGPA.

i) Limit prices for imported natural gas.