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Sea Level Rise – Let the Lawsuits Begin!
John P. Casey, Esq.
Robinson & Cole LLC
Hartford, Connecticut

Using the changing nature of coastal shorelines as a basis for his presentation, Mr. Casey will discuss the challenges of protecting a landowner's interest in preserving her land, while at the same time protecting the environment and respecting the natural changes that are bound to occur over time. Mr. Casey will focus on the how the application of laws designed to protect the environment - especially in cases where changes take place over time to alter the physical characteristics of the land - have limited development rights and raised claims of regulatory takings, and how the decisions in those cases might predict how courts will address climate change, and its ensuing effects, caused by man-made activities in the future.
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Why a coastal lawyer?
“Climate Change and the Future of the American West”
I am here
Closer view
To me, this is the West
Coastlines

- Dynamic – affected by nature and man
- The public has an established interest in this natural resource
- Already considered by the courts
- Methods developed to respond to planning issues caused by coastline changes could be adapted to deal with other climate change effects
U.S. Sea Level Trends: 1900-97

Source: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
Findings

The low profile sand beach of small tropical islands is an ideal laboratory for the study of wreck lines. There, the pure oceanic tide of approximately 1 foot separates relatively minor flotsam deposits carried by each tidal cycle such that these wreck lines are clearly separable. There were no storms reported for several weeks preceding these reported observations. Note how regularly each parallel wreck line is distributed. One low tide scour resulted in a slight 8 foot translocation of wreck lines 3 and 4, depicted below.

Figure 2

Looking west above and east below, these views were photographed at about 0900 on April 27, 2004. Well defined wreck lines primarily consist of algal detritus washed ashore as flotsam from the adjacent lagoon.
Figure 2 – June 15, 2002  1502 hrs
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Highest distinct wrack deposit.

Estimated Mean High Water.
Public Trust

- Shorthand, catchy, simplistic
- Belies complex history of public’s interest in property
Public Trust - History

- Ancient
  "By the law of nature these three things are common to mankind – the air, running water [and] the sea"
  - Justinian Institute 2.1.1
Magna Carta

“(47) All forests that have been created in our reign shall at once be disafforested. River-banks that have been enclosed in our reign shall be treated similarly.”
Public Trust – Caselaw

  
  “The State can no more abdicate its trust over property in which the whole people are interested, like navigable waters and soils underneath them … than it can abdicate its police powers in the administration of government and the preservation of the peace.”
Public Trust – Resources

- Largely navigable waters and tidelands
- But has been extended to broader natural resource and environmental and even historic structures and places
Public Trust – Connecticut


  In Connecticut, “[i]t is well established that … the state, as the representative of the public, is the owner of all land between the high and low water marks upon navigable waters, …”
Public Trust – Connecticut
Public Trust – Concepts

- Public has many interests in public (and private) lands
- These interests are often “indelible”
- Cannot be “erased” when public property is transferred or private property purchased
Public Trust – Natural Effect

- Rising sea level may create public interests in private lands
Statutes and Regulations

- Federal
- State
- Local

Caveat: *This is not meant to be all encompassing!*
Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972

- Voluntary partnership between the federal government and U.S. coastal states and territories
- 34 coastal states and 5 island territories have developed CZM programs
- These programs protect more than 99 percent of the nation's 95,331 miles of oceanic and Great Lakes coastline
- CZM programs focus on balancing often competing land and water uses while protecting sensitive resources
ACOE Regulatory Jurisdiction

- Section 10, Rivers & Harbors Act, 33 U.S.C. § 403
  - Jurisdiction: navigable waters up to the Mean High Water Line
- Section 404, Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1344
  - Jurisdiction: tidal waters up to the Mean High Tide Line or to the limit of adjacent wetlands
A COE Regulatory Jurisdiction
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CT Regulatory Jurisdiction

- Structures, Dredging & Fill Act, C.G.S. 22a-359 et seq.
  - Jurisdiction: High Tide Line

- Tidal Wetlands Act, C.G.S. § 22a-28 et seq.
  - Jurisdiction: areas which border on or beneath tidal waters, including areas now or formerly connected to tidal waters and whose surface is at or below an elevation of 1 foot above Local Extreme High Water, and which may be vegetated or capable of growing tidal wetlands plants
CT Regulatory Jurisdiction

- Coastal Management Act, C.G.S. § 22a-90 et seq.
  - Regulates all development within the Coastal Boundary
    - 100 year flood boundary
    - 1000 foot linear setback measured from *Mean High Water Line* or the inland boundary of tidal wetlands, whichever is furthest inland
CT Regulatory Jurisdiction
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FEMA Regulatory Jurisdiction

- Floodplain Management Regulations, 44 CFR § 60.1
  - Require municipalities to maintain certain zoning regulations and standards in order to remain part of the Federal Flood Insurance Program
  - In coastal high hazard areas ("V Zones"), all new construction within any of the V zone must be located landward of the reach of the Mean High Hide Line
  - Municipalities can implement more stringent requirements
FEMA Regulatory Jurisdiction

No new construction below MHW
Your proposal to fill the wetlands violates the Public Trust Doctrine, the Tidal Wetlands Act, and 4404 of the Clean Water Act!

MUCH BETTER!

Some Pain!

But... Thirty Years Later

Today
Issue – How to Regulate without Taking Private Property

- Property owners expect to be able to use and develop their land.
- Governments want to protect natural resources, protect the public trust, and protect other landowners.
- Does it matter if the jurisdictional boundary line changes due to an act of nature or an act of man?
How far can the line move in?
Coastlines Change

- Historically, because of nature
- Recently, because of man
Coastal Development

- 153 million people (53% of the population) live in 673 U.S. coastal counties (17% of all U.S. land area)
  - An increase of 33 million people since 1980
Southeast CT Land Cover

Source: Center for Land Use Education and Research, Univ. of Connecticut
Westport, CT
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Why is regulation important?

- To Protect the Environment
  - In Connecticut, it is estimated that as much as 90% of the tidal wetlands in the state have been lost due to filling, dredging and similar activities.

- To Protect Life and Property
  - FEMA estimates that floodplain management regulations prevented over $1.1 billion annually in flood damage.
Is it a Taking?

Three Cases

Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council

- A taking occurs when a property owner is denied all economically beneficial uses of land.
- A state cannot defend the regulation by simply relying on “the legislature’s recitation of a noxious-use justification.”
In order to support its regulation, a state must identify “background principles of nuisance and property law that prohibit the uses [the landowner] now intends in the circumstances in which the property is now found.”

The legislation was enacted after Lucas bought the lots.
Lucas – con’t

What are *background principles of nuisance and property law*?

- The degree of harm to public lands and resources or adjacent private property
- The social value of the landowner’s activities and their suitability to the site
- The relative ease with which the alleged harm can be avoided through measures taken by the landowner and the state
Palazzolo v. Rhode Island

- Palazzolo acquired “full” interest in 18 acres of coastal wetlands after statute to protect tidal wetlands was enacted
- Court found that post-enactment acquisition is not an absolute bar to a taking challenge
- Court agreed with lower court that because Palazzolo retained at least $200,000 in development value (6.4% of $3.15 million claimed loss) he was not deprived of “all economically beneficial use”
Palazzolo – The Site

The site >
Palazzolo – con’t

- Court did not address the “relevant parcel” problem, i.e., should a court consider the entire parcel or just that portion subject to regulation
- The Case was remanded to consider the *Penn Central* multi-factor takings analysis
Palazzolo – con’t

- Court did not decide at what time a legislative act becomes a *background principle of state law* under *Lucas*
- Background principles may include
  - an existing general law; or
  - “common, shared understandings of permissible limitations derived from a State’s legal tradition”
McQueen v. South Carolina Coastal Council

- An example of private lands lost to the imposition of public interest as a result of sea level changes
McQueen – History

- Purchased lots in 1961 and 1963.
- Submitted to Coastal Council in 1991; resubmitted in 1993; denied.
- Lots surrounding improved with seawalls.
- By the time Sam McQueen applied for seawalls, his lots had “reverted to tidelands or critical area saltwater wetlands.”
- Eventually, roads will need to be bulkheaded to be protected.
**McQueen – Issues**

- Is denial of Sam McQueen’s application for a bulkhead and fill a compensable taking?
- When sea level rise converts upland to subtidal land, will there be legitimate takings claims?
“First, we accept as uncontested that McQueen’s lots retain no value and therefore a total taking has occurred.”
McQueen – Ruling

“Historically, the State holds presumptive title to land below the high water mark. …The State’s presumptive title applies to tidelands.”
McQueen – Ruling

“Proof that land was highland at the time of grant and tidelands were subsequently created by the rising of tidal water cannot defeat the State’s presumptive title to tidelands.”
McQueen – Ruling

“Any taking...is...by the forces of nature and McQueen’s own lack of vigilance in protecting his property.”
A Few Real World Examples
Rowayton, Connecticut
Rowayton, Connecticut
Fairfield, Connecticut
Fairfield, Connecticut
What to do?
A word from my sponsors... this commentary, to the extent it may be misinterpreted as opinions, has nothing to do with my clients past, present or future...
What to do?

- Stop development in the wrong places; especially, stop bulkheading.
What to do? – con’t

- Set the rules of the game in advance.
  - Life estates
    - Two or three generations
    - Defeasible when sea level rises
  - Extinguished approvals
  - Gifts
  - Eminent domain of full or partial interests
What to do? – con’t

- Compensable regulation
  - Landowner enters a covenant with the government to keep land undeveloped
  - Landowner maintains the property and receives compensation only when the land is sold
  - Government must pay the difference between the sale price of the land and the assessed market value if the land did not have a ‘no-development’ restriction
What to do? – con’t

- Prevent the rebuilding of structures on some lots
  - Freezing development rights
  - No compensation for the public increment
  - Need enough money to fill in the big gaps
What to do? – con’t

- There is something to be said for a “wait and see” attitude
The End