6-14-1994

“Takings” and the Endangered Species Act

Oliver A. Houck

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholar.law.colorado.edu/regulatory-takings-and-resources

Part of the Constitutional Law Commons, Courts Commons, Environmental Health and Protection Commons, Environmental Law Commons, Environmental Policy Commons, Land Use Planning Commons, Legislation Commons, Litigation Commons, Natural Resources Law Commons, Natural Resources Management and Policy Commons, Property Law and Real Estate Commons, and the State and Local Government Law Commons

Citation Information
http://scholar.law.colorado.edu/regulatory-takings-and-resources/20

Reproduced with permission of the Getches-Wilkinson Center for Natural Resources, Energy, and the Environment (formerly the Natural Resources Law Center) at the University of Colorado Law School.

Reproduced with permission of the Getches-Wilkinson Center for Natural Resources, Energy, and the Environment (formerly the Natural Resources Law Center) at the University of Colorado Law School.
"TAKINGS" AND THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

Oliver A. Houck
Professor of Law
Tulane Law School
New Orleans, Louisiana

REGULATORY TAKINGS & RESOURCES:
WHAT ARE THE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS?

Natural Resources Law Center
University of Colorado
School of Law
Boulder, Colorado

June 13-15, 1994
The following thoughts and materials may be useful in considering "takings" law in the context of the Endangered Species Act.

1. **How the Act works.** The ESA proceeds from the listing of threatened and endangered species (Sec 4), to the designation of their critical habitats (Sec 4), to recovery planning designed to eliminate the threat of extinction, (Sec 4). Federal agencies are, further, required to ensure that they avoid jeopardy to these species or modifications of their critical habitats, (Sec 7), and to minimize "taking" these species, (Sec 7). Private parties are similarly required to avoid "taking" listed species, (Sec 9); this requirement may be met by "habitat conservation planing, (Sec 10). **See generally,** Houck, "The Endangered Species Act and its Implementation by the U. S. Departments of Interior and Commerce", 64 Colo. L. Rev 277 (1993).

   Question: in this process, where does the question of "takings" arise?

2. **Sections 9 and 10.** Section 9 prohibits private parties from "taking" listed species, a definition which includes "harm" to a species, (Sec 9). The Departments of Interior and Commerce have defined "harm" to include acts that "significantly disrupt essential behavioral patterns" such as "breeding, feeding or sheltering". The Ninth and Fifth Circuits have interpreted this prohibition as including habitat modification. **Palila v. Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources,** 451 F. Supp. 985 (D. Haw. 1979), **affirmed** 639 F. 2d 495 (9th Cir. 1981); **Sierra Club v. Yeutter,** 926 F. 2d 429 (5th Cir 1991). The D. C. Circuit has

**Question:** If *Sweet Home* is correct, what is left of the "takings" question under the ESA?

3. **Habitat conservation planning**

Section 10 provides an outlet for private activity that would otherwise "take" an endangered species or its habitat, "habitat conservation planning". HCPs have slowly evolved from simple set-asides to more extensive and innovative planning efforts to facilitate development in less critical habitats and to provide financial incentives for species preservation. See Olson, Murphy and Thornton, "The Habitat Transaction Method: A Proposal for Creating Tradable Credits In Endangered Species Habitat", Oct. 1993, attached.

**Question:** If *Sweet Home* is correct, what is left of habitat conservation planning?

4. **"Takings" law and endangered species.**

**Question:** Do restrictions under the ESA rise to the level of "takings" under current Constitutional law?

**Question:** Conversely, does property law embrace the right to "take" endangered species and habitat critical to their survival?

**Question:** Are the answers to these questions any different from, say, ones posed by local zoning ordinances by virtue of the fact that endangered species are involved?
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