Currents in Water Resources Law and Policy: How is “Prior” Coping with New Stresses? [outline]

A. Dan Tarlock

David H. Getches

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholar.law.colorado.edu/future-of-natural-resources-law-and-policy

Part of the Administrative Law Commons, Animal Law Commons, Biodiversity Commons, Climate Commons, Constitutional Law Commons, Courts Commons, Dispute Resolution and Arbitration Commons, Energy Law Commons, Energy Policy Commons, Environmental Law Commons, Environmental Policy Commons, Ethics and Professional Responsibility Commons, Forest Management Commons, Indian and Aboriginal Law Commons, Jurisdiction Commons, Land Use Planning Commons, Law and Society Commons, Legal Education Commons, Litigation Commons, Natural Resource Economics Commons, Natural Resources and Conservation Commons, Natural Resources Law Commons, Natural Resources Management and Policy Commons, Oil, Gas, and Energy Commons, Oil, Gas, and Mineral Law Commons, Peace and Conflict Studies Commons, President/Executive Department Commons, Property Law and Real Estate Commons, Science and Technology Commons, State and Local Government Law Commons, Sustainability Commons, Torts Commons, Urban Studies and Planning Commons, Water Law Commons, and the Water Resource Management Commons

Citation Information

http://scholar.law.colorado.edu/future-of-natural-resources-law-and-policy/19

Reproduced with permission of the Getches-Wilkinson Center for Natural Resources, Energy, and the Environment (formerly the Natural Resources Law Center) at the University of Colorado Law School.

Reproduced with permission of the Getches-Wilkinson Center for Natural Resources, Energy, and the Environment (formerly the Natural Resources Law Center) at the University of Colorado Law School.
Currents in Water Resources Law and Policy: How is “Prior” Coping with New Stresses?

A. Dan Tarlock  
Distinguished Professor of Law and  
Director, Program in Environmental and Energy Law  
Chicago-Kent College of Law

David H. Getches  
Dean and  
Raphael J. Moses Professor of Natural Resources Law  
University of Colorado Law School

I. An Urbanizing and Greener West Faces New Challenges
   A. Stresses on Water Allocation and Management
      1. End of Reclamation Era
      2. Climate Change
      3. Demand for Instream Flows
      4. Urbanization
   B. Stresses Have Become Greater Recently
      1. Water Agencies No Longer Step in to Solve Problems with Subsidized Development
      2. Cities Compete with Irrigated Agriculture
      3. Environmental Interests Compete with All Consumptive Users and with Hydropower

II. Responses to Stresses
   A. Outside-the-Box Approaches
      1. Peeking Out of the Box from Within
         a. Out of Priority Use: Sharing Replaces Strict Priority
            i. Exchanges, Substitute Supply, Rotation, etc.
            ii. Augmentation
         b. Statutory Softening of Priority System
            i. Public Interest Requirements: Efficiency, Environment, Future Uses
            ii. Instream Flow Regimes: Doctrine Gives Way to Fish and Fun
      2. Multi-Issue Watershed-Based Solutions: The Belated Legacy of Powell
         a. River Basin Scale
            i. California: Bay-Delta
            iii. Platte River Endangered Species. See J. David Aiken, *Balancing Endangered Species Protection and Irrigation Rights: The Platte River Cooperative*

c. General Stream Adjudications
   i. Early efforts mended patchworks of appropriations
   ii. Modern examples provide solutions to multiple problems
      a. Idaho: Snake River Basin Adjudication
      b. Arizona: Gila River Adjudication


B. Cities as Water Planners
1. First Round of Planning: Federal Development-Driven
2. Second Round: Statewide; Also Focused on Development
3. Missing: Adaptation
   a. Drought
   b. Climate Change
   c. Unrealistic Expectations in Water Project Delivery Contracts

4. Cities as Providers Assume Responsibility
   a. Requirements for "Adequate Water Supply"
      i. CAP
      ii. Arizona Groundwater Act
      iii. East Bay MUD
   c. Coordination of Land Use Planning and Water Planning.
III. Prior Appropriation Remains Alive, If Not Well


D. Priority Notions Survive
1. Organizing Principle for Administering Old Rights and Granting New Rights
2. Default Rule in Permit Systems
3. Embedded Economic Value
4. Market Transfers Maintain the Doctrine’s Vitality

E. Outside-the-Box Solutions Have Bucked Against Pure Priority. E.g.,

2. Groundwater Conflicts: Venerable Waste vs. Modern Efficiency

F. Conclusion: Priority Defines Basic Rights but Cannot Solve Modern Problems.